

On the dual equivalence of the self-dual and topologically massive p-form models

R. Menezes¹, J. R. S. Nascimento¹, R. F. Ribeiro¹, and C. Wotzasek²

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba
58051-970 João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brasil.*

²*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
21941-972, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

Abstract

We study the duality symmetry in p-form models containing a generalized $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ term in spacetime manifolds of arbitrary dimensions. The equivalence between the $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ self-dual ($SD_{B \wedge F}$) and the $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ topologically massive ($TM_{B \wedge F}$) models is established using a gauge embedding procedure, including the minimal coupling to conserved charged matter current. The minimal coupling adopted for both tensor fields in the self-dual representation is transformed into a non minimal magnetic like coupling in the topologically massive representation but with the currents swapped. It is known that to establish this equivalence a current-current interaction term is needed to render the matter sector unchanged. We show that both terms arise naturally from the embedding adopted. Comparison with Higgs/Julia-Toulouse duality is established.

1 Introduction

Antisymmetric tensors (p-form fields) are largely used in Physics. They are naturally used to extend the usual four dimensional phenomena to other dimensions. An abelian antisymmetric tensor potential was probably first used in the context of the particle theory to describe a massless particle of zero-helicity [1, 2]. It reappeared later on in the context of fundamental strings [3, 4], has been used to study cosmic strings [5, 6, 7] and to put topological charge (hair) on black holes [8, 9, 10]. The free theory of a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor has also been intensively studied both classically [11] and quantically [12, 13] and has been shown to be dynamically dual (under the Hodge mapping) to a massless scalar field (zero-form). In condensed matter physics tensor order parameters appear in the context of dual formulation of the London limit of the Ginzburg-Landau action [14] and in ³He-A systems [15]. Nowadays p-form fields are largely used in cosmological models in the context of string/brane theories.

The main goal of this work is to study duality symmetry in the context of the p-form models presenting a $B \wedge F$ -like term, viz., in models presenting a topological, first-order derivative coupling between forms of different ranks. These models naturally display the dimensional extension of the duality between the self-dual (SD) [16] and Maxwell-Chern-Simons models (MCS) [17], shown by Deser and Jackiw [18] or the four dimensional $B_2 \wedge F_2$ model [19]. The existence of a constraint of self duality in the massive, non invariant model ($SD_{B \wedge F}$) will be established. To this end a new dynamical embedding formalism [20, 21], that is alternative to the master Lagrangian approach, will be adopted to obtain the gauge invariant $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ model.

Duality is a ubiquitous symmetry concept. It displays the connection of two opposite regimes for the same dynamics playing an important role in nowadays physics, both in the original contexts of condensed matter and Maxwell electromagnetism, as well as in the recent research of extended objects. The existence of such a symmetry within a model has important consequences - it can be used to derive (exact) non perturbative results since swapping opposite regimes allows a perturbative investigation of theories with large coupling constants.

The study of this symmetry has received renewed interest in recent research in diverse areas in field theory such as, supersymmetric gauge theories [22], sine-Gordon model [23], statistical systems [24] and, in the context of condensed matter models, applied for instance to planar high- T_C materials, Josephson junction arrays [25] and Quantum Hall Effect [26]. In particular the duality mapping has been of great significance in order to extend the bosonization program from two to three dimensions with important phenomenological consequences [27]. It also plays preponderant role in the ADS/CFT correspondence [28] that illustrates the holographic principle [29].

The idea of duality has also been used in recent developments of string theory [30], where different vacua are shown to be related by duality[31]. In this context a general procedure for constructing dual models was proposed by Busher [32] and generalized by Rocek and Verlinde [33] that consists in lifting the global symmetry of the tensor fields with a new gauge field, whose field strength is then constrained to zero by the use of a Lagrange multiplier. Integrating, sequentially, the multiplier and the gauge field yields the original action while the dual action is obtained if one integrates the gauge field together with the original tensor field, keeping the Lagrange multiplier that then plays the role of dual field to the original tensor field. This line of research was used in the investigation of bosonization as duality by Burgess and Quevedo [34] and to discuss S-duality, the relation between strong and weak couplings in gauge theories [35]. This procedure has also been shown to be related to canonical transformations [36]. Recently, this line of research has been applied in the context of the topologically massive $B_2 \wedge F_2$ theory, which is related to our interest here, to study its equivalence with the Stueckelberg construction of gauge invariant massive excitations [37].

In this work we deal with the dual equivalence between models describing the same physical phenomenon involving the presence of a topological term in a spacetime of

arbitrary dimension. It is closely related to, (i) the odd-dimensional duality involving the Chern-Simons term (CST) [38] whose paradigm is the equivalence between SD [16] and MCS [17, 18] theories in (2+1) dimensions and, (ii) to the even dimensional $B \wedge F$ model widely used in four-dimensional models, as an alternative mass generation mechanism to the Higgs phenomenon. As shown in [18], in three dimensions there are two different ways to describe the dynamics of a single, freely propagating spin one massive mode, using either the SD theory [16] or the MCS theory. The identification that relates the basic field of the SD model with the dual of the MCS field has been established [18]. This correspondence displays the way the gauge symmetry of the MCS representation, gets hidden in the SD representation [18]. It is the presence of the topological and gauge invariant Chern-Simons term the responsible for the essential features manifested by the three dimensional field theories, while in the four dimensional context this role is played by the $B_2 \wedge F_2$ term. To extend this duality symmetry relation and study its consequences in the context of field theories in Minkowski manifolds of arbitrary dimensions, including the presence of a $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ term, is the main purpose of this work.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we investigate the gauge non invariant $SD_{B \wedge F}$ model, define a new, non-Hodge, (derivative) duality operation and show the existence of self-duality. Next, in Section III, we apply an iterative dynamical embedding procedure to construct an invariant theory out of the self-dual $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ model - the topologically massive $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ model ($TM_{B \wedge F}$). This is a gauge embedding procedure that is done with the inclusion of counter terms in the non invariant action, built with powers of the Euler tensors (whose kernels give the field equations for the potentials A_p and B_q) to warrant the dynamical equivalence. Such construction discloses hidden gauge symmetries in such systems. The minimal coupling with external matter current and its consequences are studied. We also consider, at the end of the section, the comparison of this duality with the results of [39, 40]. Our results are discussed in the final section of the paper.

2 Self Dual $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ Theory

The study of gauge theories with a topological term, in (3+1) dimensions, has received considerable attention recently. Among other possibilities the $B_2 \wedge F_2$ term is interesting for providing a gauge invariant mechanism to give mass to the gauge field and to produce statistical transmutation in (3+1) dimensions. This mechanism may be dimensionally extended straightforwardly. In $D = 4$, it displays a Kalb-Ramond field B_2 , i.e., a totally antisymmetric tensor potential (a potential 2-form) while $F_2 = dA_1$ is the field strength of the one-form potential A_1 . In arbitrary dimensions B_q is a q-form field while A_p is a p-form such that $p + q = D - 1$. In this context $F_{p+1} = dA_p$ is the field strength of the p-form potential.

The model with a built-in SD constraint in (2+1) dimensions was proposed in [16] as an alternative to the concept of topologically massive modes proposed in [17]. The former is a non gauge invariant, first order model, while the later is a second order gauge invariant formulation, both making use of the topological Chern-Simons term. In this section we want to formulate and study a D-dimensional first order, non gauge invariant model, making use of the topological $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ term and prove the existence of self duality property as a consequence of a built in SD constraint.

The model in question shows the coupling of a p-form field potential A_p with a rank-q tensor field potential B_q [9] as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p+1}m^2A_p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{q+1}m^2B_q^2 + \frac{\chi\theta}{2}mB_q\epsilon\partial A_p. \quad (1)$$

The appearance of two parameters, m and θ in the theory is however illusory. After a scaling redefinition as

$$\begin{aligned} x &\rightarrow m^{-1}x \\ A_p &\rightarrow m^{\frac{D-2}{2}}A_p \\ B_p &\rightarrow m^{\frac{D-2}{2}}B_p \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

to work with dimensionless variables we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p+1}A_p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{q+1}B_q^2 + \frac{\chi\theta}{2}B_q\epsilon\partial A_p, \quad (3)$$

keeping only the dimensionless coupling constant θ in the $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ term. Here $A_p \equiv A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$, $A_p^2 \equiv A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ and $B_q \epsilon \partial A_p \equiv B_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q \alpha \nu_1 \dots \nu_p} \partial_\alpha A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$. The superscript index in the Lagrangian is the counter of the iterative algorithm to be implemented in the sequel, $\chi = \pm 1$ displays the self or anti-self duality, θ plays a two-fold role as the coupling constant and the (inverse) mass parameter for the dynamical fields. The field strength of the basic potentials are,

$$F_{p+1}(A_p) = \partial_{[\mu_0} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p]}. \quad (4)$$

Here the potentials play an active role in the duality transformations. This shall be in contrast with the matter current, to be considered latter on – although coupled to the potentials they are passive fields (spectators) in the duality mapping. The equations of motion of the basic potentials A_p and B_q are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} A_p &= (-1)^p \mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) \frac{\chi \theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial B)_p \\ B_q &= (-1)^q \frac{\chi \theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial A)_q \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

satisfying the transversality constraint

$$\partial \cdot M = 0 \quad ; \quad M = \{A_p; B_q\} \quad (6)$$

identically. The parity property of the curl operator defined as,

$$\langle B_q \epsilon \partial A_p \rangle = \mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) \langle A_p \epsilon \partial B_q \rangle \quad (7)$$

is give by

$$\mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) = (-1)^{(p+1)(q+1)} \quad (8)$$

Our notation goes as follows: $(\epsilon \partial B)_p = \epsilon_{p1q} \partial B^q \equiv \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \alpha \nu_1 \nu_q} \partial^\alpha B^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_q}$ is a p-form made out of the q-form B_q and a generalized curl operator. The equations (5) constitute a set of first-order coupled equations that can be combined into a decoupled second-order, massive, wave equations as

$$\left(\square + \frac{4}{p!q!\theta^2} \right) M = 0 \quad (9)$$

whose mass depends crucially on the value of the coupling constant and the rank the potentials.

Next, we discuss the self-duality inherent to the above theory. To this end we define a new derivative duality operation by means of a set of star-variables as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*A_p &\equiv (-1)^p \mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) \frac{\theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial B)_p \\ {}^*B_q &\equiv (-1)^q \frac{\theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial A)_q \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

With this definition we obtain, for the double duality operation, the relations

$${}^*({}^*M) = M \quad ; \quad M = \{A_p; B_q\} \quad (11)$$

after use of the equations of motion (9). This is important because it validates the notion of self (or anti-self) duality

$${}^*M = \chi M \quad ; \quad M = \{A_p; B_q\} \quad (12)$$

as a solution for the field equations, very much like the three-dimensional SD model. However, this conceptualization of duality operation and self-duality in diverse dimensions is new.

Before we start the iterative procedure for the transformation of the $SD_{B \wedge F}$ model into a topological $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ model let us digress on the consequences of the self-duality relation (12). Notice first that under the usual gauge transformations of the potentials

$$\begin{aligned} A_p &\rightarrow A_p + F_p(\Lambda_{p-1}) \\ B_q &\rightarrow B_q + F_q(\Lambda_{q-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

the fields strengths $F(A)$ and $F(B)$ are left invariant. Therefore, although the basic potentials are gauge dependent their duals, defined in (10), are not. This situation parallels the three-dimensional case involving the Chern-Simons term which is the origin for the presence of a hidden (gauge) symmetry in the SD model of [16] while it is explicit in the topologically massive model of [17]. Here too the $SD_{B\wedge F}$ model hides the gauge symmetry (13) that is explicit in the $TM_{B\wedge F}$ model. It will be shown the existence of such an intimate connection between the $SD_{B\wedge F}$ with a gauge invariant version through a dual transformation. In the next section we shall discuss a dynamical gauge embedding procedure that will clearly produce an equivalent gauge invariant model.

3 The Gauge Invariant $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ Theory

In previous works we have used the dynamical gauge embedding formalism to study dual equivalence in (2+1) [20, 21] and (3+1) dimensions [19] in diverse situations with models involving the presence of the topological Chern-Simons term and the $B_2 \wedge F_2$ term, respectively. In this section we extend that technique to study duality symmetry among models in diverse dimensions involving the presence of an extended topological $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ term. The minimal coupling as both A_p and B_q tensor will be considered as well.

Our basic goal is to transform the symmetry (13) that is hidden in the Lagrangian (3) into a local gauge symmetry by lifting the global parameter Λ into its local form, i.e., $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(x^\mu)$. The method works by looking for an (weakly) equivalent description of the original theory which may be obtained by adding a function $f(K_p, M_q)$ to the Lagrangian (3). Here K_p and M_q are the Euler tensors, defined by the variation

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} = K_p \delta A^p + M_q \delta B^q \quad (14)$$

whose kernels give the equations of motion for the A_p and B_q fields, respectively. The minimal requirement for $f(K_p, M_q)$ is that it must be chosen such that it vanishes on the space of solutions of (3), viz. $f(0, 0) = 0$, so that the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} + f(K_p, M_q) \quad (15)$$

is dynamically equivalent to $\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)}$. To find the specific form of this function that also induces a gauge symmetry into $\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)}$ we work iteratively. To this end we compute the variations (14) of $\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)}$ to find the Euler tensors as

$$\begin{aligned} K_p &= (-1)^{p+1} A_p + \mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) \frac{\chi \theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial B)_p \\ M_q &= (-1)^{q+1} B_q + \frac{\chi \theta}{2} (\epsilon \partial A)_q \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and define the first-iterated Lagrangian as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} - a_p K^p - b_q M^q \quad (17)$$

with the Euler tensors being imposed as constraints and the new fields, a_p and b_q , to be identified with ancillary gauge fields, acting as a Lagrange multipliers.

The transformation properties of the auxiliary fields a_p and b_q accompanying the basic field transformations (13) is chosen so as to cancel the variation of $\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)}$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta a_p &= \delta A_p \\ \delta b_q &= \delta B_q \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

A simple algebra then shows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(1)} &= -a_p \delta K^p - b_q \delta M^q \\ &= \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} (-1)^p a_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^q b_q^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we have used (13) and (18). Because of (19), the second iterated Lagrangian is unambiguously defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{p+1} a_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{q+1} b_q^2 \quad (20)$$

that is automatically gauge invariant under the combined local transformation of the original set of fields (A_p, B_q) and the auxiliary fields (a_p, b_q) .

We have therefore succeed in transforming the global $SD_{B \wedge F}$ theory into a locally invariant gauge theory. We may now take advantage of the Gaussian character displayed by the auxiliary field to rewrite (20) as an effective action depending only on the original variables (A_p, B_q) . To this end we use (20) to solve for the fields a_p and b_q (call the solutions $\bar{a}_p$ and $\bar{b}_q$ collectively by $\bar{h}_{\{p,q\}}$), and replace it back into (20) to find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff} &= \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(2)} |_{h_{\{p,q\}} = \bar{h}_{\{p,q\}}} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^p K_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^q M_q^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

from which we identify the function $f(K_p, M_q)$ in (15). This dynamically modified action can be rewritten to give the $TM_{B \wedge F}$ theory,

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = (-1)^q \frac{1}{8} \frac{p!}{(q+1)!} F_{q+1}^2(B_q) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{8} \frac{q!}{(p+1)!} F_{p+1}^2(A_p) - \frac{\chi}{2\theta} B_q \epsilon \partial A_p, \quad (22)$$

after the scaling $\theta A_p \rightarrow A_p$ and $\theta B_q \rightarrow B_q$ is performed. Notice the inversion of the coupling constant $\theta \rightarrow 1/\theta$ resulting from the duality mapping. It becomes clear from

the above derivation that the difference between these two models is given by a function of the Euler tensors of the $SD_{B\wedge F}$ model that vanishes over its space of solutions. This establishes the dynamical equivalence between the $SD_{B\wedge F}$ and the $TM_{B\wedge F}$ theory.

Once the duality mapping between the free theories has been established one is ready to consider the requirements for the existence of duality when the coupling with external matter current is included.

The interacting Lagrangian now takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{min}^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}_{SD}^{(0)} + eA_p J^p + gB_q G^q \quad (23)$$

with e and g being the strengths of the coupling with A_p and B_q , respectively. The effective, gauge invariant action is obtained directly from (21) just operating the replacement

$$\begin{aligned} K_p &\rightarrow K_p^C = K_p + eJ_p \\ M_q &\rightarrow M_q^C = M_q + gG_q \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

to produce

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{min}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^p (K_p^C)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^q (M_q^C)^2 \quad (25)$$

which, after some algebraic manipulation, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff} = & (-1)^q \frac{1}{8} \frac{p!}{(q+1)!} F_{q+1}^2(B_q) + (-1)^p \frac{1}{8} \frac{q!}{(p+1)!} F_{p+1}^2(A_p) - \frac{\chi}{2\theta} B_q \epsilon \partial A_p \\ & + \frac{e^2}{2} (-1)^p J_p^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} (-1)^q G_q^2 + eB_q^* J^q + gA_p^* G^p \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the dual currents are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*J_q &= (-1)^p \frac{\chi\theta}{2} \epsilon \partial J_p \\ {}^*G_p &= (-1)^q \mathcal{P}(\epsilon \partial) \frac{\chi\theta}{2} \epsilon \partial G_q. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From this result it becomes clear the full action of the dual mapping over the active and passive fields involved in the transformation. Notice the exchange of the minimal coupling adopted in the SD sector into a non minimal, magnetic like interaction in the TM sector, including a swapping between the fields and currents and the presence of the current-current interaction for the matter sector. This is needed to maintain the dynamics of the matter field (which here acts as an spectator field) unmodified [41]. To actually check for this fact depends crucially on the statistical character of the matter model adopted and will not be dealt with here.

Before concluding, let us digress on the connection of the present duality with the work of Quevedo and Trugenberger [39] on the condensation of electric and magnetic p-branes and the duality between Higgs and Julia-Toulouse mechanisms [40]. The investigation of mass generation for compact anti-symmetric tensors of arbitrary ranks, coupled to magnetic and electric topological defects, due to some condensation mechanism has been tackled in [39, 40]. An interesting duality between the Higgs and the Julia-Toulouse mechanisms for even dimensional spacetime was established where the Higgs phase is viewed as a coherent plasma of charged objects while the confinement phase is understood as a coherent plasma of monopoles. When the dimension of the topological defects coincide the two mechanism are dual to each other. In [39] and [40] compact anti-symmetric field theories p-branes appear as topological defects of the original theory. While electric (p-1)-branes coupled minimally with the original p-forms, the magnetic (d-p)-branes can be viewed as closed singularities (Dirac strings). The opposite picture is valid for the $(d - p - 1)$ -form dual to the original tensor. The effective, low-energy field theory, is then valid outside these singularities. Topological defects condensation leads to drastic modifications of the infrared behavior of the original theory [42]. There is a new phase with a continuous distribution of topological defects described by a low energy effective action - the condensation of topological defects gives rise to new low-energy modes representing the long-wavelength fluctuations about the homogeneous condensate. Quevedo and Trugenberger have shown that, in the presence of a magnetic defect described by a Dirac string (let us represent it by $\psi_p^{(0)}$), a massless abelian $(p - 1)$ -form $\phi_{p-1}^{(0)}$ interpolates into a massive (p) -form $\psi_p^{(m)}$ in the condensed phase of the magnetic defect. In this process, coined by them as Julia-Toulouse mechanism, the degrees of freedom of the abelian $(p - 1)$ -form are incorporated by the magnetic condensate to acquire a mass proportional to the density of the condensate,

$$\phi_{p-1}^{(0)} \rightarrow \psi_p^{(m)} = \phi_{p-1}^{(0)} \oplus \psi_p^{(0)} \quad (28)$$

This is quite distinct from the Higgs mechanism where the original $U(1)$ massless tensor $\phi_p^{(0)}$ acquires the degrees of freedom of the Higgs condensate, say $\Sigma_{p-1}^{(0)}$ to become massive,

$$\phi_p^{(0)} \rightarrow \phi_p^{(m)} = \phi_p^{(0)} \oplus \Sigma_{p-1}^{(0)} \quad (29)$$

When the topological defects have the same dimensionality, Higgs and Julia-Toulouse phases are described by tensors of the same rank in this way establishing a duality between these two mechanisms [39].

The result of the duality displayed in (22) may be summarized by the following scheme,

$$A_p^{(0)} \rightarrow A_p^{(m)} = A_p^{(0)} \oplus B_q^{(0)} \quad (30)$$

if the ranks p and q of the massless fields $A_p^{(0)}$ and $B_q^{(0)}$ satisfy a massive duality condition: $p + q = d$. We are now in position to compare the field contents of the

present analysis with the mass generation coming from the Higgs and the Julia-Toulouse mechanism. By inspection, we see,

- Higgs/Soldering

$$\Sigma_{p-1}^{(0)} = {}^*(B_q) \quad (31)$$

- Julia-Toulouse/Soldering

$$\phi_{p-1}^{(0)} = {}^*(B_q) \quad (32)$$

where $*$ here is the massless duality operation, characterized by

$$\alpha_p = {}^*\beta_q \quad (33)$$

if $p+q+1 = D-1 = d$. Therefore, in order to identify the fields we need the condition, $p-1 = q = d-p$ or, equivalently, $2p = d+1 = D$, that is the Quevedo-Trugenberger condition for the Higgs/Julia-Toulouse duality, to hold. The field B_q therefore interpolates between the original abelian form in the Julia-Toulouse condensation to the Higgs condensate in the Higgs mechanism.

4 Conclusions

In this work we studied dual equivalence of topological models, namely, between the $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ self-dual ($SD_{B \wedge F}$) and the $B_q \wedge F_{p+1}$ topologically massive ($TM_{B \wedge F}$) models, in diverse dimensions, using an iterative procedure of gauge embedding that produces the dual mapping. We defined a new derivative type of duality mapping, very much like the one adopted in the three-dimensional case and proved the self and antiself-duality property of the $SD_{B \wedge F}$ model, according to the relative sign of the topological term. Working out the free case firstly, where the A_p and B_q fields participate actively in the dual transformation we observed, as expected, the traditional inversion in the coupling constant. The coupling to external matter current, whose fields act as spectators in the dual transformation, brought into the scene some new features. We mention the appearance of a Thirring like self-interaction term in the dualized theory, that had already been observed in the (2+1) case, as well as the shift from minimal to non minimal coupling. However, in this case we observed a swapping of the couplings from a tensor to another. This is a new result due to the presence of tensors of distinct ranks participating actively in the dual transformation. The presence of these terms are demanded to maintain the equivalent dynamics in the matter sector in either representations of the duality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: This work is partially supported by CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ and FUJB, Brazilian Research Agencies. RM thanks the Physics Department of UFRJ for the kind hospitality during the course of this investigation.

References

- [1] V.I. Ogievetskii and I.V. Polubarinov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 4 (1967) 156.
- [2] S. Deser Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 1931.
- [3] M.Kalb and P.Ramond, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 2273.
- [4] R.Rhom and E.Witten, Ann. Phys. 170 (1986) 454.
- [5] A.Vilenkin and T.Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 1138.
- [6] R.L.Davis and E.P.S.Shellard, Phys. Lett. B214 (1988) 219.
- [7] R.L.Davis, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 4033.
- [8] M.J.Bowick et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (198) 2823.
- [9] T.J.Allen, M.J.Bowick and A.Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 559.
- [10] B.A.Campbell et al, Phys. Lett. B251 (1990) 34.
- [11] R.K.Kaul, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 1127.
- [12] C.P.Burgess and A.Kshirsagar, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 157.
- [13] J.M.Molera and B.A.Ovrut, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 1146.
- [14] A. P. Balachandran and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, Intl. Jour. Mod. Phys. A8 (1993) 723.
- [15] A. M. J. Schakel, Boulevard of Broken Symmetries, cond-mat/9805152.
- [16] P. K. Townsend, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B 136 (1984) 38.
- [17] S. Schoenfeld, Nuc. Phys. B 185 (1981) 157; R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2291; S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. 140 (1982) 372; Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 372.
- [18] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. B 139 (1984) 2366.
- [19] T. J. Allen, M. J. Bowick and A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6, 559 (1991); A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8, 2403 (1993); E. Harikumar and M. Sivakumar, Nucl. Phys. B **565**, 385 (2000); R. Menezes, J. R. Nascimento, R. F. Ribeiro and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **537**, 321 (2002).
- [20] A. Ilha and C. Wotzasek, Nucl.Phys.B604 (2001) 426; Phys.Lett.B519 (2001) 169.

- [21] M. A. Anacleto, A. Ilha, J. R. S. Nascimento, R. F. Ribeiro and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B504 (2001) 268; D.Bazeia, A. Ilha, J. R. S. Nascimento, R. F. Ribeiro and C. Wotzasek, Phys.Lett.B510 (2001) 329.
- [22] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B246 (1994) 19.
- [23] D. Carpentier, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 3865 and references therein.
- [24] J. L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B205 (1982) 17; J. L. Cardy and E. Rabinovici. Nucl. Phys. B205 (1982) 1.
- [25] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B448 (1995) 505; M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B474 (1996) 641; for a general review see E. Fradkin, Field Theories of Condensed Matter systems, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City (1991).
- [26] Quantum Hall Effect, M. Stone, (ed.); Singapore: World Scientific (1992).
- [27] E. C. Marino, Phys. Lett. B263 (1991) 63; C. P. Burgess, C. A. Lutken and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B336 (1994) 18; C. P. Burgess and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B421 (1994) 373; E. Fradkin and F. A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. B338 (1994) 253; R. Banerjee, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 297.
- [28] J. Maldacena, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.2 (1998) 231.
- [29] G. t'Hooft, Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity, gr-qc/9310026
- [30] For a review see E. Kiritsis, Supersymmetry and Duality in Field Theory and String Theory, preprint hep-th/9911525 (1999).
- [31] W.Siegel and Zweibach, Nucl. Phys. B263 (1985) 105.
- [32] T.H. Busher, Phys. Lett. B201 (1988) 466.
- [33] M. Rocek and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B373 (1992) 630.
- [34] C.P.Burgess and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B421 (1994) 373.
- [35] E. Witten, On S-Duality in Abelian Gauge Theory, hep-th/9505186.
- [36] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, Phys. Lett. B336 (1994) 183.
- [37] E. Harikumar and M. Sivakumar, Phys.Rev. D57 (1998) 3794.
- [38] S. Chern and J. Simons, Ann. Math. 99 (1974) 49.
- [39] F.Quevedo and C.A.Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B501 (1997) 143.

- [40] F.Quevedo and C.A. Trugenberger, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A12:1227-1236,1997.
- [41] M. Gomes, L. C. Malacarne, A. J. da Silva, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 137.
- [42] P. Orland, Nucl. Phys. B205[FS5] (1982) 107; A. Polyakov, Gauge fields and strings, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland, 1987. B439 (1998) 137.